USEFULNESS OF BLOOD CULTURES IN COVID-19 PNEUMONIA

MARIANA F. HAEDO, SANTIAGO E. MELENDI, MARCELA LAUKO MAURI, CARLOS UJEDA, ROCÍO LEIS

Hospital General de Agudos Dr. Carlos G. Durand, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Abstract The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has strained the world's health systems, highlighting the need to optimize its clinical management and treatment. The usefulness of blood cultures in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia has not been proved. We aim to describe the diagnostic yield of early blood cultures in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in a public hospital in Buenos Aires City. This descriptive observational study included all adult patients with COVID-19 pneumonia admitted to the Internal Medicine ward of Hospital Durand between April 1, 2020 and July 30, 2020, who had blood cultures drawn within 5 days from hospital admission. Among 267 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia, 38 had early blood cultures drawn. No clinically relevant microorganism was isolated from blood and contaminant microorganisms were recovered in 7 (18.4%) patients. This study found no evidence of bacteremia in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Furthermore, the rate of contaminated blood cultures nearly doubles the reported in patients with community acquired pneumonia (10%), which may be explained by unfamiliarity of additional personal protective equipment worn by healthcare workers. Our results advocate against the routine indication of blood cultures upon admission to the Internal Medicine Ward of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. We suggest that blood cultures upon admission to the Internal Medicine Ward of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia.

Key words: coronavirus infections; pneumonia; inpatients care units; blood culture

Utilidad de los hemocultivos en la neumonía por COVID-19. La pandemia por COVID-19 ha Resumen puesto en jaque a los sistemas de salud del mundo, priorizando la necesidad de optimizar su manejo clínico. Aunque los protocolos de varios hospitales de nuestro país para COVID-19 incluyen hemocultivo al ingreso, no se ha demostrado su utilidad en pacientes con neumonía por COVID-19. Nuestro objetivo fue describir el rédito diagnóstico de los hemocultivos tempranos en pacientes con neumonía por COVID-19 en un hospital público de la Ciudad de Buenos Aires. Este estudio observacional descriptivo incluyó todos los pacientes adultos ingresados en la sala de Clínica Médica del Hospital Durand entre el 1 de abril y el 30 de julio de 2020, con neumonía por COVID-19 y hemocultivos realizados dentro de los 5 días del ingreso. De los 267 pacientes con neumonía por COVID-19, a 38 se les realizó hemocultivos tempranos. No se aisló ningún microorganismo clínicamente relevante en ninguno de ellos y se recuperaron microorganismos contaminantes en 7 (18.4%). Este estudio no encontró evidencia de bacteriemia en pacientes con neumonía por COVID-19. Además, la tasa de hemocultivos contaminados casi duplicó la tasa en pacientes con neumonía adquirida en la comunidad, lo que probablemente se deba a la falta de familiaridad de equipos de protección personal adicional utilizado por el personal de salud. Nuestros resultados abogan en contra de la realización rutinaria de hemocultivos al ingreso de pacientes con neumonía por COVID-19. Sugerimos que los hemocultivos solo sean utilizados ante el deterioro clínico o la sospecha de infección intrahospitalaria.

Palabras clave: infecciones por coronavirus; neumonía; unidades de internación; hemocultivo

KEY POINTS

- In Argentina, various hospital protocols for COVID-19 patients include drawing blood cultures on admittance, as well as the initiation of empirical antibiotic therapy. However, the usefulness of blood culture in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia has not been proved.
- We found no evidence of early bacteremia in patients suffering from COVID-19 pneumonia. We did find high rates of contaminated cultures. We therefore recommend against the drawing of early blood cultures and initiation of empirical antibiotic treatment.

Received: 21-IX-2020

Accepted: 21-X-2020

Postal address: Mariana F. Haedo, Av. Álvarez Thomas 3195, 8° "C", 1431 Buenos Aires, Argentina

e-mail: marianafhaedo@gmail.com

The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has strained the world's health systems, resulting in high rates of bed occupancy and high costs in personal protective equipment, diagnostic studies, and therapeutic tools. That is why it is essential to find the most efficient diagnostic therapeutic approaches leaving aside unnecessary procedures.

The blood culture is a critical tool for the microbiological diagnosis in febrile patients, which is routinely used in patients with pneumonia requiring hospitalization when bacterial, but not viral, etiology is suspected¹. Its diagnostic usefulness in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia has not been proved.

Although many hospital protocols for COVID-19 patients include drawing blood cultures on admittance, as well as the initiation of empirical antibiotic therapy aiming at potential bacterial co-infections, there is scarce evidence and variable criteria for this conduct^{2, 3}. Thus, the aim of this study is to describe the diagnostic yield of early blood cultures in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in a public hospital in Buenos Aires.

Materials and methods

The present observational descriptive study constitutes a secondary analysis of a prospective cohort study, for which a detailed description of methods has already been published⁴. It was carried out in the Internal Medicine Ward of the Hospital General de Agudos Carlos G. Durand, located in Buenos Aires City. This hospital was designated as a referral health care center for COVID-19 patients within the city's public health system and has in-house availability of real time PCR (RT-PCR) for severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). The Internal Medicine ward has a 50-bed capacity, with around the clock patient admission. The sample was non-probabilistic, including patients (aged ≥ 18 years) admitted to the Internal Medicine ward between April 1, 2020 and July 30, 2020, with COVID-19 pneumonia diagnosis confirmed by RT-PCR in nasopharyngeal swab. In order to diagnose pneumonia, x-rays or chest tomography infiltrates were considered, and/or the presence of typical clinical characteristics (pathological findings in pulmonary auscultation associated with dyspnea, cough, chest pain or fever)¹. Regarding blood cultures, the automated blood culture system BacT/ALERT® (Durham, NC, U SA) is routinely used at our center. Our main analysis included cultures drawn within a period of up to 5 days from hospital admission. In Argentina, hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) is defined as one that occurs 4 to 7 days after hospital admission⁵. The 5 days limit was therefore established in order to detect germs causing community-acquired pneumonia. The decision to perform blood cultures was based on the individual clinical judgment of the treating physician and no systematic protocol has been used. Cultures drawn after 5 days since admission were included as an additional analysis.

Clinical characteristics, laboratory, radiology, and treatment results data were obtained from medical records. All the collected information came from routine practice and the study had no influence on the diagnostic or therapeutic conduct.

Severe pneumonia was defined if at least one of the following conditions was present upon admission: (a) Respiratory rate \geq 30/ min; (b) Arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) < 70 mm Hg or peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) \leq 93% on room air or PaO₂/ FiO₂ \leq 300; (c) Lung involvement > 50% on chest radiography⁶.

The Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) Score was calculated for all patients from whom a laboratory report was available within 48 hours of admission⁷. Since the SOFA index requires PaO₂, which was not always available, SpO₂ was used in those cases to calculate the SpO₂/FiO₂ index⁸. In patients who had arterial blood gas analysis within 48 hours of admission, the Pneumonia Severity Index (PSI) was calculated⁹.

The general characteristics of the included patients are described using proportions for qualitative variables and median with interquartile range for quantitative variables. The STATA® 14.2 (College Station, TX, United States) software was used.

The study protocol has been reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the *Hospital General de Agudos Carlos G. Durand* (Protocol No. 1437). The requirement for informed consent was waived because only routinely collected data from usual care was used.

Results

Between April 1 and July 31, 2020, 675 patients were admitted to the internal medicine ward, of which 457 (67.7%) had laboratory-confirmed COVID-19. Among them, 267 (58.4%) had pneumonia and 53 had blood cultures drawn anytime during hospitalization.

Table 1 depicts the demographic and clinical findings of the 38 patients in which blood cultures were drawn within the first 5 days since hospital admission. The median age was 51 years (interquartile range, 39-63 years); 23 (60%) were males. All patients had at least one comorbidity, being hypertension and obesity the most frequent ones, each present in around one third of the study population. Upon admission, 26 patients (68.4%) did not meet the criteria for severe pneumonia, and 11 (52.4%) had PSI score \leq 70. Follow-up data shows that most of them (n = 34, 89.5%) required oxygen supplementation at some point during their stay, and 9 (23.7%) received invasive mechanical ventilation.

Among the 38 early drawn blood cultures, 31 (81.6%) were negative and the 7 that resulted positive were considered contaminated. Thus, there was no significant diagnostic yield (Table 2). Regarding the 15 blood cultures drawn after 5 days of hospital admission, 10 (66.7%) were negative. Among the 5 positive ones, we found 2 significant results (13.3%) and 3 were contaminants (20%). The clinical characteristics of patients who had blood cultures drawn after five days of hospital admission are depicted in Appendix 1.

Discussion

In this descriptive study on 38 non-ventilated patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, no bacterial coinfection was found by blood culture within 5 days from admission.

Several studies showed that the diagnostic yield of blood cultures in community-acquired pneumonia is very low (5-25%)^{1,10}. Local evidence suggests the same, with diagnostic rates of 18.8% in an argentine study¹¹. A yield even lower (8.2%) was found in a Chilean study¹². Considering this, our findings showed no evidence of its usefulness in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Therefore, we advocate that blood cultures in COVID-19 patients, as well as antibiotic therapy, be only used in face of clinical deterioration or suspicion of hospital-acquired infection.

Moreover, a high proportion of blood culture contamination was identified (18.4% of blood culture pairs grew at least 1 contaminant bacteria). This number nearly doubles the usual percentage of contaminated blood cultures drawn in patients with community-acquired pneumonia (10%)¹³. As stated by Hughes and col., this could be explained by unfamiliarity of additional personal protective equipment worn by healthcare workers taking blood samples from patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19¹⁴.

TABLE 1.– Clinical characteristics of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and early drawn blood cultures

Characteristic	Study population (N = 38) n (%)
Gender	
Female	15 (39.5)
Male	23 (60.5)
Age, median (IQR)	51 (39-63)
Diabetes history	7 (18.4)
Hypertension history	14 (36.8)
Obesity history	12/37 (32.4)
Coronary disease history	2 (5.3)
COPD history	1 (2.6)
Asthma history	3 (7.9)
Chronic kidney disease history	5 (13.2)
Solid or hematologic neoplasia	5 (13.2)
Immunosuppression	2 (5.3)
Severe pneumonia*	12 (31.6)
Admission chest x-ray**	
-Unilateral infiltrate	10/31 (32.3)
-Bilateral infiltrate, lung involvement < 50%	5 15/31 (48.4)
-Bilateral infiltrate, lung involvement \geq 50%	3/27 (11.1)
Oxygen therapy	
-Low flow (≤ 3 l/min)	18 (47.4)
-High flow (> 3 l/min)	16 (42.1)
Invasive mechanical ventilation	9 (23.7)
Empirical antibiotic treatment	37 (97.4)
PSI, median (IQR)***, n = 21	65 (41-100)
PSI category	
<i>I-II:</i> ≤ 70	11/21 (52.4)
III: 71-90	4/21 (19.0)
IV: 91-130	5/21 (23.8)
V: >130	1/21 (4.8)
SOFA score, median (IQR), n = 34	1 (0-2)
SOFA score ≥ 2	12/34 (35.3)

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PSI: Pneumonia Severity Index; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

Data are presented as N (%) or median (IQR). n/N (%) was used when missing values were present.

*At least one of the following conditions present upon admission: (a) Respiratory rate \geq 30/min; (b) Arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) <70 mmHg or peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) \leq 93% on room air or PaO₂FiO₂ \leq 300; (c) Lung involvement >50% on chest radiography⁶ **Missing values are due to information not included in the imaging evaluation

*** PSI was calculated only for patients with arterial blood gas analysis performed within 48h since admission.

Our findings on low diagnostic yield of blood cultures for COVID-19 patients as well as the high proportion TABLE 2.– Microbiological isolation of early drawn blood cultures in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia

Blood culture results	Study population (N = 38)
Negative blood cultures	31 (81.6%)
Positive blood cultures	7 (18.4%)
 Significant isolates 	0/7
 Contaminant isolates 	
- Coagulase-negative	6/7
staphylococci	
- Corynebacterium spp.	1/7

of those that yielded contaminants correlate with other published studies^{14, 15}.

Despite some significant positive results of blood cultures drawn in patients after five days of hospital admittance, they still show a high rate of contaminants. Furthermore, significant positive results might be attributed to hospital-acquired infections.

Additionally, we observed that while most patients had PSI scores I or II upon admission (usually considered as candidates for outpatient treatment), the vast majority of them (89.5%) required oxygen therapy during their stay¹⁶. Although further investigation is needed, we suggest caution in using the PSI score to decide outpatient care of patients with COVID-19 pneumonia.

Our study has limitations. First, blood cultures were drawn in a non-systematic fashion. Thus, they are not representative of all the patients admitted to the internal medicine ward. Second, the sample size is small and therefore the power to detect microbiological isolation from blood cultures is low. However, being a small subset of patients, most of them with risk-conferring comorbidities or clinical deterioration, a higher diagnostic yield is expected from blood cultures, reinforcing the clinical implications of not finding any microbiological isolation. Third, this study lacks a pre-pandemic control group to compare diagnostic yield and contamination rates nor have these rates been published for our study population. Therefore, we cannot establish if the observed rates represent a significant variation of standard care at our center. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the diagnostic yield of blood cultures in COVID-19 patients in a public hospital in Buenos Aires City.

In conclusion, we found no evidence of early bacteremia in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. We therefore recommend against the drawing of early blood cultures and initiation of empirical antibiotic treatment.

Conflict of interest: None to declare

APPENDIX TABLE 1.– Clinical characteristics of hospitalized patients with COVID-19 pneumonia and blood cultures drawn after 5 days from admission

Characteristic	Study population (N = 15) n (%)
Gender	
Female	6 (40)
Male	9 (60)
Age, median (IQR)	58 (50-69)
Diabetes history	3 (20)
Hypertension history	6 (40)
Obesity history	4 (26.7)
Coronary disease history	1 (6.7)
COPD history	2 (13.3)
Asthma history	0 (0)
Chronic kidney disease history	2 (13.3)
Solid or hematologic neoplasia	0 (0)
Immunosuppression	0 (0)
Severe pneumonia*	5 (33.3)
Admission chest x-ray**	
-Unilateral infiltrate	1/13 (7.7)
-Bilateral infiltrate, lung involvement < 50%	11/13 (84.6)
-Bilateral infiltrate, lung involvement $\geq 50\%$	2/12 (16.7)
Oxygen therapy	
-Low flow (≤ 3 I/min)	3 (20)
-High flow (> 3 l/min)	11 (73.3)
Invasive mechanical ventilation	7 (46.7)
Empirical antibiotic treatment	15 (100)
PSI, median (IQR)***, n = 8	80.5
	(66.5-102.5)
PSI category	
<i>I-II</i> : ≤ 70	4/8 (50)
III: 71-90	0/8 (0)
IV: 91-130	4/8 (50)
V: >130	0/8 (0)
SOFA score, median (IQR), n = 13	1 (0-2)
SOFA score ≥ 2	5/13 (38.5)

COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; PSI: Pneumonia Severity Index; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment Data are presented as N (%) or median (IQR). n/N (%) was used when missing values were present.

*At least one of the following conditions present upon admission: (a) Respiratory rate \geq 30/min; (b) Arterial partial pressure of oxygen (PaO₂) < 70 mmHg or peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) \leq 93% on room air or PaO₂/FiO₂ \leq 300; (c) Lung involvement > 50% on chest radiography⁴ **Missing values are due to information not included in the imaging evaluation

***PSI was calculated only for patients with arterial blood gas analysis performed within 48hs of admission

References

- Lopardo G, Basombrío A, Clara L, Desse J, De Vedia L. Neumonía adquirida de la comunidad en adultos. Recomendaciones sobre su atención. *Medicina (B Aires)* 2015; 75: 245-57.
- Gobierno de España. Ministerio de Sanidad. Manejo clínico del COVID-19: atención hospitalaria. Published 2020. In: https://www.mscbs.gob.es/profesionales/saludPublica/ ccayes/alertasActual/nCov/documentos/Protocolo_manejo_clinico_ah_COVID-19.pdf, accessed October 13, 2020.
- Ministerio de Salud de la Argentina. Evaluación inicial del paciente con infección respiratoria aguda y decisión del sitio de internación. Published 2020. In: https://www.argentina.gob.ar/salud/coronavirus-COVID-19/evaluacioninicial-ira; accessed October 13, 2020.
- Melendi SE, Pérez M, Salas C, et al. Estudio de cohorte prospectivo de pacientes con COVID-19 hospitalizados en el Servicio de Clínica Médica del Hospital Durand: protocolo de estudio. *Rev Argent Salud Pública* 2020; 12(Supl COVID-19): e7.
- Luna CM, Monteverde A, Rodríguez A, et al. Neumonía intrahospitalaria: guía clínica aplicable a Latinoamérica preparada en común por diferentes especialistas. Arch Bronconeumol 2005; 41: 439-56.
- Berlin DA, Gulick RM, Martinez FJ. Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp2009575. Online ahead of print.
- Vincent JL, Moreno R, Takala J, et al. The SOFA (Sepsisrelated Organ Failure Assessment) score to describe organ dysfunction/failure. *Intensive Care Med* 1996; 22: 707-10.
- Grissom CK, Brown SM, Kuttler KG, et al. A modified sequential organ failure assessment score for critical care triage. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep* 2010; 4: 277-84.
- Fine MJ, Auble TE, Yealy DM, et al. A prediction rule to identify low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. N Engl J Med 1997; 336: 243-50.
- Waterer GW, Wunderink RG. The influence of the severity of community-acquired pneumonia on the usefulness of blood cultures. *Respir Med* 2001; 95: 78-82.
- Catalán SC, Catay ER, Pegoraro NM, Tosolini ED. Utilidad del hemocultivo en el manejo de la neumonía adquirida en la comunidad. *Rev Posgrado la Vla Cátedra Med* 2006; 163: 1-3.
- Díaz FA, Calvo AM, O'Brien SA, Farías GG, Mardónez UJM, Saldías PF. Utilidad clínica de los hemocultivos en pacientes hospitalizados por neumonía adquirida en la comunidad. *Rev Med Chil* 2002; 130: 993-1000.
- Corbo J, Friedman B, Bijur P, Gallagher EJ. Limited usefulness of initial blood cultures in community acquired pneumonia. *Emerg Med J* 2004; 21: 446-8.
- Hughes S, Troise O, Donaldson H, Mughal N, Moore LSP. Bacterial and fungal coinfection among hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study in a UK secondary-care setting. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; 26: 1395-9.
- Sepulveda J, Westblade LF, Whittier S, et al. Bacteremia and blood culture utilization during COVID-19 surge in New York City. *J Clin Microbiol* 2020; 58: e00875-20.
- Loke YK, Kwok CS, Niruban A, Myint PK. Value of severity scales in predicting mortality from community-acquired pneumonia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2010; 65: 884-90.